MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name Respondent Name

Robert Bedford, D.C. Safety National Casualty Corporation

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-17-0993-01 Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

December 7, 2016

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "The carrier did not timely reimburse on the first receipt of this claim. The carrier failed to timely respond or reimburse for the Request of Reconsideration for this claim."

Amount in Dispute: \$1,200.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: Submitted documentation does not include a position statement from the respondent. Accordingly, this decision is based on the information available at the time of review.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
June 24, 2016	Designated Doctor Examination	\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4 sets out the procedures for communications that do not include the division.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 sets out the procedures for payment or denial of a medical bill.
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204 sets out the fee guidelines for division-specific services provided from March 1, 2008 until September 1, 2016.
- 5. Submitted documentation does not include explanations of benefits.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Did Safety National Casualty Corporation (Safety National) respond to the medical fee dispute?
- 2. Did Safety National take final action to pay, reduce, or deny the disputed services not later than the 45th day after receiving the medical bill?
- 3. Is Robert Bedford, D.C. entitled to reimbursement for the disputed services?

Findings

- 1. The Austin carrier representative for Safety National is Flahive, Ogden & Latson. Flahive, Ogden & Latson acknowledged receipt of the copy of this medical fee dispute on December 14, 2016.
 - 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 states, in relevant part:
 - (d) Responses. Responses to a request for MFDR shall be legible and submitted to the division and to the requestor in the form and manner prescribed by the division.
 - (1) Timeliness. The response will be deemed timely if received by the division via mail service, personal delivery, or facsimile within 14 calendar days after the date the respondent received the copy of the requestor's dispute [emphasis added]. If the division does not receive the response information within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the division may base its decision on the available information.

Review of the documentation finds that no response has been received on behalf of Safety National from Flahive, Ogden & Latson to date. The division concludes that Safety National failed to respond within the timeframe required by §133.307(d)(1). For that reason the division will base its decision on the information available.

2. Dr. Bedford argued in his position statement that "The carrier did not timely reimburse on the first receipt of this claim. The carrier failed to timely respond or reimburse for the Request of Reconsideration for this claim." Submitted documentation finds no explanations of benefits were submitted by either party.

According to Texas Labor Code Sec. 408.027(b), Safety National was required to pay, reduce, or deny the disputed services not later than the 45th day after it received the pharmacy bill from Dr. Bedford. Corresponding 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240(a) also required Safety National to take **final action** by issuing an explanation of benefits not later than the statutorily-required 45th day. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.2(6) defines final action as follows:

- (6) Final action on a medical bill—
 - (A) sending a payment that makes the total reimbursement for that bill a fair and reasonable reimbursement in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement); and/or
 - (B) denying a charge on the medical bill.

Dr. Bedford submitted a copy of a CMS 1500 indicating that the medical bill for the services in dispute were submitted by fax on or about August 8, 2016. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4(p) states:

For purposes of determining the date of receipt for non-commission written communications, unless the great weight of evidence indicates otherwise, the Commission shall deem the received date to be five days after the date mailed via United States Postal Service regular mail; or the date faxed or electronically transmitted.

Safety National was, therefore, not relieved of its requirement to pay, reduce, or deny the disputed services not later than the 45th day after it received the medical bill from Dr. Bedford, in accordance with Texas Labor Code Sec. 408.027(b). When the insurance carrier receives a medical bill, it is obligated to take the following actions pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240:

(a) An insurance carrier shall take final action [emphasis added] after conducting bill review on a complete medical bill...not later than the 45th day [emphasis added] after the insurance carrier received a complete medical bill...

- (e) The insurance carrier **shall send the explanation of benefits** [emphasis added] in accordance with the elements required by §133.500 and §133.501 of this title...The explanation of benefits shall be sent to:
 - (1) the health care provider when the insurance carrier makes payment or denies payment on a medical bill...

All workers' compensation insurance carriers are expected to fulfill their duty to take final action as required by the division's statutes and adopted administrative rules. The division finds that:

- no evidence was presented to the division to support that Safety National took final action by paying, reducing, or denying the services in dispute within 45 days; and
- no evidence was presented to the division to support that Safety National timely presented any
 defenses to Dr. Bedford on an explanation of benefits as required under 28 Texas Administrative
 Code §133.240 prior to the request for medical fee dispute resolution.

Absent any evidence that Safety National raised any defenses that conform to the requirements of Title 28, Part 2, Chapter 133, Subchapter C, the division finds that the services in question will be reviewed in accordance with applicable fee guidelines.

3. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(3), "The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an MMI evaluation... (C) An examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, shall bill using CPT Code 99456. Reimbursement shall be \$350." The submitted documentation supports that Dr. Bedford performed an evaluation of maximum medical improvement. Therefore, the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) for this examination is \$350.00.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(4), "The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an IR evaluation. ... (C)(ii) The MAR for musculoskeletal body areas shall be as follows. ... (II) If full physical evaluation, with range of motion, is performed: (-a-) \$300 for the first musculoskeletal body area." The submitted documentation supports that Dr. Bedford provided an impairment rating, which included a musculoskeletal body part, and performed a full physical evaluation with range of motion of the cervical and thoracic spine. Therefore, the MAR for this examination is \$300.00.

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204 (j)(4)(B) states,

When multiple IRs are required as a component of a designated doctor examination ... the designated doctor shall bill for the number of body areas rated and be reimbursed \$50 for each additional IR calculation. Modifier 'MI' shall be added to the MMI evaluation CPT code.

The submitted documentation indicates that the Designated Doctor was ordered to address Maximum Medical Improvement, Impairment Rating, and Extent of Injury. The narrative report and enclosed forms support that these examinations were performed, and one additional impairment rating was provided. Therefore, the correct MAR for this service is \$50.00.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(k),

The following shall apply to Return to Work (RTW) and/or Evaluation of Medical Care (EMC) Examinations. When conducting a Division or insurance carrier requested RTW/EMC examination, the examining doctor shall bill and be reimbursed using CPT Code 99456 with modifier 'RE.' In either instance of whether MMI/IR is performed or not, the reimbursement shall be \$500 in accordance with subsection (i) of this section and shall include Division-required reports...

The submitted documentation indicates that the Designated Doctor performed an examination to determine the extent of the compensable injury. Therefore, the correct MAR for this examination is \$500.00.

The total MAR for the disputed services is \$1,200.00. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$1,200.00.

ORDER

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Sec. 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services in dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$1,200.00, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

	Laurie Garnes	March 31, 2017	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.